	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/660,271	HANCHAR, PETER J.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John M. Hotaling II	3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *John M. Hotaling II*.   (3)*Dan Burnham*.

(2) *Micheal Blankstien*.   (4)*Jeremie Moll*.

Date of Interview: *21 June 2007*.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
         c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
     If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *1 and 40*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *That used in rejection*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *The examiner is in agreement that the proposed amendments overcome the prior art of record and that a subsequent search wil be performed when applicant officially submits the amendment*.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.   Examiner's signature, if required